arXiv:1112.1163v2 [math.AG] 19 Feb 2012

# A GLOBAL TORELLI THEOREM FOR CALABI-YAU MANIFOLDS

FENG GUAN, KEFENG LIU, AND ANDREY TODOROV

Abstract. We prove that the period map from the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifolds to the classifying space of polarized Hodge structures is an embedding. The proof is based on the constructions of holomorphic affine structure and global holomorphic affine flat coordinates on the Teichmüller space.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the global properties of the period map from the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifolds to the classifying space of polarized Hodge structures. Our method is based on a simple observation that there exists a natural holomorphic affine structure with global holomorphic affine flat coordinates on the Teichmüller space of Calabi-Yau manifolds. By using the very basic properties of simply connected holomorphic affine manifolds we can extend a natural holomorphic local affine embedding to a global holomorphic affine embedding of the Teichmüller space into a complex Euclidean space of the same dimension, which is explicitly given by the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates. In fact, for each point $p$ in the Teichmüller space and the corresponding Calabi-Yau manifold $M_p$, this complex Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^N \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$ is naturally identified to the tangent bundle of the Teichmuller space at the point $p$, and the embedding map $\rho_p$ we constructed can be considered as the inverse map of the exponential map defined by the holomorphic affine flat connection.

It is important to note that all of our arguments is based on the existence of the global versal family of the polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifolds on the Teichmüller space, more specifically on the fact that the restriction of the polarized and marked versal family of the Calabi-Yau manifolds on any small coordinate chart is a Kuranishi family, from which we have Theorem 3.5 to get the local holomorphic affine charts and Theorem 4.7 to construct the global holomorphic affine structure on the Teichmüller space, and thus a global holomorphic flat affine connection. We then use the global holomorphic affine flat connection on the simply connected Teichmüller space to construct global holomorphic coordinate functions which give us the holomorphic embedding Theorem 5.1. The global Torelli theorem follows from Theorem 5.1 directly.

Although our method works for more general cases, for simplicity we will restrict our discussion to Calabi-Yau manifolds. More precisely a compact projective manifold $M$ of complex dimension $n$ with $n \geq 3$ is called Calabi-Yau in this paper, if it has a trivial canonical bundle and satisfies $H^i(M, \mathcal{O}_M) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$. A polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifold is a triple consisting of a Calabi-Yau manifold $M$, an ample line bundle $L$ over $M$ and a basis of integral homology group modulo torsion, $H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})/Tor$.

We will denote by $\mathcal{T}$ the Teichmüller space from the deformation of the complex structure on $M$. We will take $\mathcal{T}$ as the universal cover of the smooth moduli space $\mathcal{Z}_m$ constructed by Popp, Viehweg, and Szendroi, for example in Section 2 of [20]. The versal family $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T}$ of the polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifolds is the pull-back of the versal family over $\mathcal{Z}_m$ constructed in [20]. Therefore $\mathcal{T}$ is a simply connected smooth complex manifold of complex dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{T} = h^{n-1,1}(M) = N,$$

where $h^{n-1,1}(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{n-1,1}(M)$ with $H^{n-1,1}(M)$ the $(n-1,1)$ Dolbeault cohomology group of $M$. See Section 2.2 for details.

Let $D$ be the classifying space of polarized Hodge structures of the weight $n$ primitive cohomology of $M$. The period map $\Phi : \mathcal{T} \to D$ assigns to each point in $\mathcal{T}$ the corresponding Hodge structure of the fiber. The main result of this paper is the proof of the following global Torelli theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** *The period map $\Phi : \mathcal{T} \to D$ is injective.*

The main idea of the paper is the construction on the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}$ a holomorphic affine structure and the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates on $\mathcal{T}$. A holomorphic affine structure on a complex manifold is a holomorphic coordinate cover with affine transition maps. By using the local Kuranishi deformation theory of Calabi-Yau manifolds, we introduce local holomorphic affine flat coordinate charts and define a holomorphic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$ by verifying that the transition maps between any two holomorphic affine flat coordinate charts are holomorphic affine maps. The computation is based on the construction in [22] of the local canonical family of holomorphic $(n,0)$-forms on the local Kuranishi family of Calabi-Yau manifolds, which also follows from the local Torelli theorem for Calabi-Yau manifolds and the Griffiths transversality for variations of Hodge structures. We call the coordinate cover of $\mathcal{T}$ given by this local holomorphic affine flat coordinate charts, the Kuranishi coordinate cover of the Teichmüller space. We note that local version of holomorphic flat connection related to Calabi-Yau manifolds has been studied from a different point of view by many physicists and mathematicians, for which we refer the reader to [4] and [7].

It is known that the existence of a holomorphic affine structure is equivalent to the existence of a holomorphic torsion-free flat connection on the Teichmüller space. See for example page 215-219 in [13] and Section 3 in [27]. Then we use this holomorphic flat connection and the fact that $\mathcal{T}$ is simply connected to prove the existence of global holomorphic affine flat coordinates on $\mathcal{T}$, which gives the explicit embedding of $\mathcal{T}$ in $\mathbb{C}^N \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$.

To be slightly more precise, let us take a point $p \in \mathcal{T}$, and denote by $U_p$ the local holomorphic flat coordinate chart introduced in Section 3. We can identify the holomorphic tangent space $T^{1,0}_p\mathcal{T}$ to $H^{n-1,1}(M_p) \cong \mathbb{C}^N$, where $M_p$ is the fiber of the versal family $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T}$ at $p$. In fact we use the Calabi-Yau metric to get a harmonic orthonormal basis of $H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$, which gives us its natural identification to $\mathbb{C}^N$, and we can also use this basis to construct the holomorphic affine flat coordinates on $U_p$. This defines a natural local holomorphic affine map

$$\rho_{U_p} : U_p \to T^{1,0}_p\mathcal{T} \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p) \cong \mathbb{C}^N.$$

In fact $\rho_{U_p}$ may be considered the inverse map of the exponential map defined by the holomorphic flat connection. For $q \in U_p$, under the above identifications $\rho_{U_p}(q)$ is simply the $(n-1,1)$ component of the Hodge decomposition on $M_p$ of the canonical holomorphic $(n,0)$ forms on $M_q$. Through the above identifications, $\rho_{U_p}$ is also the holomorphic affine flat coordinate map we introduced on $U_p$. Since the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}$ is simply connected holomorphic affine manifold, a theorem in page 252-255 in [10] of Kobayashi and Nomizu tells us that this local holomorphic affine map can be extended to a global holomorphic affine map $\rho_p : \mathcal{T} \to T_p^{1,0}\mathcal{T} \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$, which may be considered as an analogue of the well-known Harish-Chandra map to embed symmetric spaces of noncompact type into $\mathbb{C}^N$. Then by using the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates, we prove that this holomorphic affine map is actually an embedding explicitly given by the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates, from which the global Torelli theorem, Theorem 1.1, follows immediately.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the definition of the classifying space of polarized Hodge structures and briefly describe the construction of the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifolds and its basic properties. In Section 3 we review the geometry of the local deformation theory of complex structures, especially in the case of Calabi-Yau manifolds. Since local deformation of Calabi-Yau manifold is local Kuranishi at each point of the Teichmüller space, we can construct a canonical local holomorphic affine flat coordinate chart around each point based on the local Kuranishi deformation theory.

In Section 4 we review the concept of affine manifolds and construct a holomorphic affine structure on the Teichmüller space. This plays a central role in the proof of our main theorem. Then in Section 5.1 we use the holomorphic affine structure constructed in Section 4 to show the existence of the global holomorphic affine coordinates and prove the holomorphic affine embedding of $\mathcal{T}$ into $\mathbb{C}^N$ by $\rho_p$. Finally in Section 5.2 we prove the global Torelli theorem for the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifolds.

In a subsequent paper we will study the applications of the global Torelli theorem as well as our method. We will discuss the global Torelli theorem for the period map from the coarse moduli space of polarized Calabi-Yau manifold, and the existence of Kähler-Einstein metric on the Hodge metric completion of the Teichmüller space of Calabi-Yau manifold.

Now we briefly recall the history of the Torelli problem. The idea to study the periods of abelian varieties on Riemann surfaces goes back to Riemann. In 1914 Torelli asked wether two curves are isomorphic if they have the same periods. See [23] for detail. In [28] Weil reformulated the Torelli problem as follows: Suppose for two Riemann surfaces, there exists an isomorphism of their Jacobians which preserves the canonical polarization of the Jacobians, is it true that the two Riemann surfaces are isomorphic? Andreotti proved Weil's version of the Torelli problem in [1].

Another important achievement about the Torelli problem, conjectured by Weil in [29], was the proof of the global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces, essentially due to Shafarevich and Piatetski-Shapiro in [16]. Andreotti's proof is based on specific geometric properties of Riemann surfaces. The approach of Shafarevich and Piatetski-Shapiro is based on the arithmeticity of the mapping class group of a K3 surface. It implies that the special K3 surfaces, the Kummer surfaces, are everywhere dense subset in the moduli of K3 surfaces. Shafarevich and Piatetski-Shapiro observed that the period map has degree one on the set of Kummer surfaces, which implies the global Torelli theorem.

The literature about the Torelli problem is enormous. Many authors made very substantial contributions to the general Torelli problem. We believe that it is impossible to give a complete list of all the achievements in this area and its applications. In [24] Verbitsky used an approach similar to ours in his proof of the global Torelli theorem for hyperKähler manifolds.

We would like to thank Professor S.-T. Yau for his constant interest, for sharing his ideas and encouragement during the work on this project. We had numerous useful conversations with X. Sun. We would also like to thank Professors F. Bogomolov, P. Deligne, Bart van den Dries, R. Friedman, V. Golyshev, Radu Laza, S. Li, B. Lian, E. Loojienga, Yu. Manin and M. Verbitsky for their interest and valuable suggestions.

## 2. The Period Map

In this section, we review the definitions and some basic results about period domain, Teichmüller space and period map. In Section 2.1, we recall the definition and some basic properties of period domain. In Section 2.2, we discuss the construction of the Teichmüllller space of Calabi-Yau manifolds based on the works of Popp [15], Viehweg [25] and Szendroi [20] on the moduli spaces of Calabi-Yau manifolds. In Section 2.3, we define the period map from the Teichmüller space to the period domain. We remark that most of the results in this section are standard and can be found from the literature in the subjects.

### 2.1. **Classifying space of polarized Hodge structure.**

We first review the construction of the classifying space of polarized Hodge structures, which is also called the period domain. We refer the reader to Section 3 of [17] for details.

This paper mainly considers polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifolds. A pair $(M, L)$ consisting of a Calabi-Yau manifold $M$ of complex dimension $n$ and an ample line bundle $L$ over $M$ is called a polarized Calabi-Yau manifold. By abuse of notation the Chern class of $L$ will also be denoted by $L$ and $L \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. We use $h^n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^n(M, \mathbb{C})$ to denote the Betti number. Let $\{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{h^n}\}$ be a basis of the integral homology group modulo torsion, $H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})/Tor$.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let the pair $(M, L)$ be a polarized Calabi-Yau manifold, we call the triple $(M, L, \{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{h^n}\})$ a polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifold.*

For a polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifold $M$ with background smooth manifold $X$, we identify the basis of $H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})/Tor$ to a lattice $\Lambda$ as in [20]. This gives us a canonical identification of the middle dimensional de Rahm cohomology of $M$ to that of the background manifold $X$,

$$H^n(M) \cong H^n(X)$$

where the coefficient ring can be $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

Since the polarization $L$ is an integer class, it defines a map

$$L: H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{n+2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

given by $A \mapsto L \wedge A$ for any $A \in H^n(X, \mathbb{Q})$. We denote by $H^n_{pr}(X) = \ker(L)$ the primitive cohomology groups where, again, the coefficient ring is $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We let

$H_{pr}^{k,n-k}(M) = H^{k,n-k}(M) \cap H_{pr}^n(M,\mathbb{C})$ and denote its dimension by $h^{k,n-k}$. We have the Hodge decomposition

$$H_{pr}^n(M,\mathbb{C}) = H_{pr}^{n,0}(M) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{pr}^{0,n}(M). \tag{1}$$

It is easy to see that for a polarized Calabi-Yau manifold, since $H^2(M,\mathcal{O}_M) = 0$, we have

$$H_{pr}^{n,0}(M) = H^{n,0}(M),\ H_{pr}^{n-1,1}(M) = H^{n-1,1}(M).$$

The Poincaré bilinear form $Q$ on $H_{pr}^n(X,\mathbb{Q})$ is defined by

$$Q(u,v) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \int_X u \wedge v$$

for any $d$-closed $n$-forms $u, v$ on $X$. The bilinear form $Q$ is symmetric if $n$ is even and is skew-symmetric if $n$ is odd. Furthermore, $Q$ is non-degenerate and can be extended to $H_{pr}^n(X,\mathbb{C})$ bilinearly, and satisfies the Hodge-Riemann relations

$$Q\left(H_{pr}^{k,n-k}(M), H_{pr}^{l,n-l}(M)\right) = 0 \ \text{ unless } \ k+l=n, \tag{2}$$

and

$$\left(\sqrt{-1}\right)^{2k-n} Q\left(v,\overline{v}\right) > 0 \ \text{ for } \ v \in H_{pr}^{k,n-k}(M) \setminus \{0\}. \tag{3}$$

The above Hodge decomposition of $H_{pr}^n(M,\mathbb{C})$ can also be described via the Hodge filtration. Let $f^k = \sum_{i=k}^n h^{i,n-i}$, and

$$F^k = F^k(M) = H_{pr}^{n,0}(M) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{pr}^{k,n-k}(M)$$

from which we have the decreasing filtration

$$H_{pr}^n(M,\mathbb{C}) = F^0 \supset \cdots \supset F^n.$$

We know that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} F^k = f^k, \tag{4}$$

$$H_{pr}^n(X,\mathbb{C}) = F^k \oplus \overline{F^{n-k+1}} \tag{5}$$

and

$$H_{pr}^{k,n-k}(M) = F^k \cap \overline{F^{n-k}}. \tag{6}$$

In term of the Hodge filtration $F^n \subset \cdots \subset F^0 = H_{pr}^n(M,\mathbb{C})$, the Hodge-Riemann relations can be written as

$$Q\left(F^k, F^{n-k+1}\right) = 0 \tag{7}$$

and

$$Q\left(Cv,\overline{v}\right) > 0 \ \text{ if } \ v \neq 0, \tag{8}$$

where $C$ is the Weil operator given by $Cv = \left(\sqrt{-1}\right)^{2k-n} v$ when $v \in H_{pr}^{k,n-k}(M)$. The classifying space $D$ for polarized Hodge structures with data (4) is the space of all such Hodge filtrations

$$D = \left\{F^n \subset \cdots \subset F^0 = H_{pr}^n(X,\mathbb{C}) \mid (4), (7) \text{ and } (8) \text{ hold}\right\}.$$

The compact dual $\check{D}$ of $D$ is

$$\check{D} = \left\{F^n \subset \cdots \subset F^0 = H_{pr}^n(X,\mathbb{C}) \mid (4) \text{ and } (7) \text{ hold}\right\}.$$

The classifying space $D \subset \check{D}$ is an open set. We note that the conditions (4), (7) and (8) imply the identity (5). From the definition of classifying space we naturally get the Hodge bundles on $\check{D}$ by associating to each point in $\check{D}$ the vector spaces $\{F^k\}_{k=0}^n$ in the Hodge filtration of that point. Without confusion we will also denote by $F^k$ the bundle with $F^k$ as fiber, for each $0 \leq k \leq n$.

### 2.2. Construction of the Teichmuller space.

In this subsection we briefly describe the construction of the Teichmuller space of polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifolds and discuss its basic properties.

We need the concept of Kuranishi family of compact complex manifolds, we refer to page 8-10 in [19], page 94 in [15] or page 19 in [25], for equivalent definitions and more details. If a complex analytic family $\pi : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{M}$ of compact complex manifolds is complete at each point of $\mathcal{M}$ and versal at the point $0 \in \mathcal{M}$, then the family $\pi : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{M}$ is called the Kuranishi family of the complex manifold $V = \pi^{-1}(0)$. The base space $\mathcal{M}$ is called the Kuranishi space. If the family is complete at each point of a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and versal at 0, then the family is called local Kuranishi family at $0 \in \mathcal{M}$. In particular by definition if a family is versal at each point of $\mathcal{M}$, then it is local Kuranishi at every point of $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $(M, L)$ be a polarized Calabi-Yau manifold. We call a basis of the quotient space $(H_n(M,\mathbb{Z})/Tor)/m(H_n(M,\mathbb{Z})/Tor)$ a level $m$ structure on the polarized Calabi-Yau manifold. For deformation of polarized Calabi-Yau manifold with level $m$ structure, we have the following theorem, which is a reformulation of Theorem 2.2 in [20], we just take the statement we need in this paper. One can also look at [15] and [25] for more details about the construction of moduli spaces of Calabi-Yau manifolds.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let $m \geq 3$ and $M$ be polarized Calabi-Yau manifold with level $m$ structure, then there exists a quasi-projective complex manifold $Z_m$ with a versal family of Calabi-Yau manifolds,*

$$\mathcal{X}_{Z_m} \to Z_m, \tag{9}$$

*containing $M$ as a fiber, and polarized by an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{Z_m}$ on $\mathcal{X}_{Z_m}$.*

Let $(M, L)$ be a polarized Calabi-Yau manifold. We define $\mathcal{T}_L(M)$ to be the universal cover of $Z_m$,

$$\pi : \mathcal{T}_L(M) \to Z_m$$

and the family

$$\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T}_L(M)$$

to be the pull-back of the family (9) by the projection $\pi$. For simplicity we will denote $\mathcal{T}_L(M)$ by $\mathcal{T}$.

**Proposition 2.3.** *The Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}$ is a simply connected smooth complex manifold, and the family*

$$\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T} \tag{10}$$

*containing $M$ as a fiber, is local Kuranishi at each point of $\mathcal{T}$.*

*Proof.* For the first part, because $Z_m$ is smooth complex manifold, the universal cover of $Z_m$ is simply connected smooth complex manifold. For the second part, we know that the family (9) is versal family at each point of $Z_m$, and $\pi$ is locally bi-holomorphic, thus the pull-back family via $\pi$ is also versal at each point of $\mathcal{T}$. By definition of local Kuranishi family, we get that $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T}$ is local Kuranishi at each point of $\mathcal{T}$. □

Note that the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}$ does not depend on the choice of $m$. In fact let $m_1$, $m_2$ be two different integers, $\mathcal{U}_1 \to \mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}_2 \to \mathcal{T}_2$ are two versal families constructed via level $m_1$ and level $m_2$ respectively as above, both of which contain $M$ as a fiber. By using the fact that $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$ are simply connected and the definition of versal family, we have a bi-holomorphic map $f : \mathcal{T}_1 \to \mathcal{T}_2$, such that the versal family $\mathcal{U}_1 \to \mathcal{T}_1$ is the pull back of the versal family $\mathcal{U}_2 \to \mathcal{T}_2$ by $f$. Thus these two families are isomorphic to each other.

We remark that our method of proving the global Torelli theorem for polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifold applies without change to the Teichmüller spaces of more general projective manifolds with trivial canonical line bundle, including polarized and marked hyperkähler manifolds and K3 surfaces. In these cases the Teichmüller spaces are inside symmetric domains of non-compact type, so naturally have global holomorphic affine flat coodinates.

2.3. **The period map.** We are now ready to define the period map from the Teichmüller space to the period domain. For any point $p \in \mathcal{T}$, let $M_p$ be the fiber of family $\pi : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T}$, which is a polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifold. Since the Teichmüller space is simply connected and we have fixed the basis of the middle homology group modulo torsions, we can use this to identify $H^n(M_p, \mathbb{C})$ for all fibers on $\mathcal{T}$, and thus get a canonical trivial bundle $H^n(M_p, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{T}$. We have similar identifications for $H^n(M_p, \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^n(M_p, \mathbb{Z})$.

The period map from $\mathcal{T}$ to $D$ is defined by assigning each point $p \in \mathcal{T}$ the Hodge structure on $M_p$,

$$\Phi : \mathcal{T} \to D$$

with $\Phi(p) = \{F^n(M_p) \subset \cdots \subset F^0(M_p)\}$. We denote $F^k(M_p)$ by $F^k_p$ for convenience.

Period map has several good properties, we refer the reader to Chapter 10 in [26] for details. Among them the most important is the following Griffiths transversality. Let $\Phi$ be the period map, then $\Phi$ is holomorphic map and for any $p \in \mathcal{T}$ and $v \in T^{1,0}_p\mathcal{T}$, the tangent map satisfies,

$$\Phi_*(v) \in \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{Hom}\left(F^k_p/F^{k+1}_p, F^{k-1}_p/F^k_p\right), \tag{11}$$

where $F^{n+1} = 0$, or equivalently

$$\Phi_*(v) \in \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{Hom}(F^k_p, F^{k-1}_p).$$

Hodge bundles over $\mathcal{T}$ are the pull-back of the Hodge bundles over $D$ through the period map. For convenience, we still denote them by $F^k$, for each $0 \leq k \leq n$. We will also denote by $P^k_p$ the projection from $H^n(M, \mathbb{C})$ to $F^k_p$ with respect to the Hodge filtration at

$M_p$, and $P_p^{n-k,k}$ the projection from $H^n(M,\mathbb{C})$ to $H^{n-k,k}(M_p)$ with respect to the Hodge decomposition at $M_p$.

With all of these preparations, we are ready to state precisely the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 2.4.** *The period map $\Phi$ constructed above is an embedding,*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{T} \hookrightarrow D.$$

## 3. Local Geometric Structure of the Teichmüller Space

In this section, we review the local deformation theory of polarized Calabi-Yau manifolds, which will be needed for the construction of the global holomorphic affine structure on the Teichmüller space in Section 4. In Section 3.1, we briefly review the basic local deformation theory of complex structures. In Section 3.2, we recall the local Kuranishi deformation theory of Calabi-Yau manifolds, which depends on the Calabi-Yau metric in a substantial way. In Section 3.3, we describe a local family of the canonical holomorphic $(n,0)$-forms as a section of the Hodge bundle $F^n$ over the local deformation space of Calabi-Yau manifolds, from which we obtain an expansion of the family of holomorphic $(n,0)$-classes as given in Theorem 3.5. This simple expansion is what we need for the construction of holomorphic affine flat structure on the Teichmüller space.

Most of the results in this section are standard now in the literatures, and can be found in [11], [22], and [21]. For reader's convenience, we also briefly review some arguments. We remark that one may use a more algebraic approach to Theorem 3.5 by using the local Torelli theorem and the Griffiths transversality.

3.1. **Local deformation of complex structure.** Let $X$ be a smooth manifold of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 2n$ and let $J$ be an integrable complex structure on $X$. We denote by $M = (X, J)$ the corresponding complex manifold, and $\partial$, $\overline{\partial}$ the corresponding differential operators on $M$.

Let $\varphi \in A^{0,1}\left(M, T^{1,0}M\right)$ be a $T^{1,0}M$- valued smooth $(0,1)$-form. For any point $x \in M$, and any local holomorphic coordinate chart $(U, z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ around $x$. Let us express $\varphi = \varphi^i_{\overline{j}} d\overline{z}_j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} = \varphi^i \partial_i$, where $\varphi^i = \varphi^i_{\overline{j}} d\overline{z}_j$ and $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ for simplicity. Here we use the standard convention to sum over the repeated indices. We can view $\varphi$ as a map

$$\varphi : \Omega^{1,0}(M) \to \Omega^{0,1}(M)$$

such that locally we have

$$\varphi(dz_i) = \varphi^i \quad \text{ for } \ 1 \le i \le n.$$

We use $\varphi$ to describe deformation of complex structures. Let

$$\Omega^{1,0}_{\varphi}(x) = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{dz_1 + \varphi(dz_1), \cdots, dz_n + \varphi(dz_n)\},$$

and

$$\Omega^{0,1}_{\varphi}(x) = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{d\overline{z}_1 + \overline{\varphi}(d\overline{z}_1), \cdots, d\overline{z}_n + \overline{\varphi}(d\overline{z}_n)\},$$

if $\Omega^{1,0}_{\varphi}(x) \cap \Omega^{0,1}_{\varphi}(x) = 0$ for any $x$, then we can define a new almost complex structure $J_\varphi$ by letting $\Omega^{1,0}_{\varphi}(x)$ and $\Omega^{0,1}_{\varphi}(x)$ be the eigenspaces of $J_\varphi(x)$ with respect to the eigenvalues $\sqrt{-1}$ and $-\sqrt{-1}$ respectively, and we call such $\varphi$ a Beltrami differential.

It was proved in [14] that the almost complex structure $J_\varphi$ is integrable if and only if

$$\overline{\partial}\varphi = \frac{1}{2}[\varphi, \varphi]. \tag{12}$$

If (12) holds, we will call $\varphi$ an integrable Beltrami differential and denote by $M_\varphi$ the corresponding complex manifold. Please see Chapter 4 in [11] for more details about the deformation of complex structures.

Let us recall the notation for contractions and Lie bracket of Beltrami differentials. Let $(U, z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ be the local coordinate chart defined above, and $\Omega = f dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$ be a smooth $(n, 0)$-form on $M$, and $\varphi \in A^{0,1}(M, T^{1,0}M)$ be a Beltrami differential. We define

$$\varphi \lrcorner \Omega = \sum_i (-1)^{i-1} f \varphi^i \wedge dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dz_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n.$$

For Beltrami differentials $\varphi$, $\psi \in A^{0,1}(M, T^{1,0}M)$, with $\varphi = \varphi^i \partial_i$ and $\psi = \psi^k \partial_k$, recall that the Lie bracket is defined as

$$[\varphi, \psi] = \sum_{i,k} \left(\varphi^i \wedge \partial_i \psi^k + \psi^i \wedge \partial_i \varphi^k\right) \otimes \partial_k,$$

where $\partial_i \varphi^k = \frac{\partial \varphi^k_{\bar{l}}}{\partial z_i} d\overline{z}_l$ and $\partial_i \psi^k = \frac{\partial \psi^k_{\bar{l}}}{\partial z_i} d\overline{z}_l$.

For $k$ Beltrami differentials $\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_k \in A^{0,1}(M, T^{1,0}M)$, with $\varphi_\alpha = \varphi^i_\alpha \partial_i$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$, we define

$$\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_k = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \varphi^{i_1}_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^{i_k}_{\sigma(k)} \right) \otimes \left(\partial_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{i_k}\right),$$

where $S_k$ is the symmetric group of $k$ elements. Especially we have

$$\wedge^k \varphi = k! \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} \left(\varphi^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^{i_k}\right) \otimes \left(\partial_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{i_k}\right).$$

Then we define the contraction,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_k) \lrcorner \Omega = \varphi_1 \lrcorner (\varphi_2 \lrcorner (\cdots \lrcorner (\varphi_k \lrcorner \Omega))) \\
&= \sum_{I = (i_1, \cdots, i_k) \in A_k} (-1)^{|I| + \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2} - 1} f \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \varphi^{i_1}_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^{i_k}_{\sigma(k)} \right) \wedge dz_{I^c},
\end{aligned}$$

where $A_k$ is the index set

$$A_k = \{(i_1, \cdots, i_k) \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n\}.$$

Here for each $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_k) \in A_k$, we let $|I| = i_1 + \cdots + i_k$ and $dz_{I^c} = dz_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{j_{n-k}}$ where $j_1 < \cdots < j_{n-k}$ and $j_\alpha \neq i_\beta$ for any $\alpha, \beta$. With the above notations, for any Beltrami differentials $\varphi$, $\psi \in A^{0,1}(M, T^{1,0}M)$ one has the following identity which was proved in [21], [22],

$$\partial((\varphi \wedge \psi) \lrcorner \Omega) = -[\varphi, \psi] \lrcorner \Omega + \varphi \lrcorner \partial(\psi \lrcorner \Omega) + \psi \lrcorner \partial(\varphi \Omega). \tag{13}$$

The following notation will be needed in the construction of the local canonical family of holomorphic $(n,0)$-classes.

$$e^{\varphi}\lrcorner\Omega=\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{1}{k!}\wedge^{k}\varphi\lrcorner\Omega. \tag{14}$$

By direct computation, we see that $e^{\varphi}\lrcorner\Omega=f\left(dz_1+\varphi(dz_1)\right)\wedge\cdots\wedge\left(dz_n+\varphi(dz_n)\right)$ is a smooth $(n,0)$-form on $M_{\varphi}$.

3.2. **Local deformation of Calabi-Yau manifold.** For a point $p\in\mathcal{T}$, we denote by $(M_p,L)$ the corresponding polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifold as the fiber over $p$. Yau's solution of the Calabi conjecture implies that there exists a unique Calabi-Yau metric $h_p$ on $M_p$, and the imaginary part $\omega_p=\operatorname{Im}h_p\in L$ is the corresponding Kähler form. First by using the Calabi-Yau metric we have the following lemma,

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $\Omega_p$ be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic $(n,0)$-form on $M_p$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\right)^{n}(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\Omega_p\wedge\overline{\Omega_p}=\omega_p^{n}. \tag{15}$$

*Then the map $\iota:\ A^{0,1}\left(M,T^{1,0}M\right)\to A^{n-1,1}(M)$ given by $\iota(\varphi)=\varphi\lrcorner\Omega_p$ is an isometry with respect to the natural Hermitian inner product on both spaces induced by $\omega_p$. Furthermore, $\iota$ preserves the Hodge decomposition.*

Let us briefly recall the proof. We can pick local coordinates $z_1,\cdots,z_n$ on $M$ such that $\Omega_p=dz_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_n$ locally and $\omega_p=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}g_{i\bar{j}}dz_i\wedge d\bar{z}_j$, then the condition (15) implies that $\det[g_{i\bar{j}}]=1$. The lemma follows from direct computations.

Let $\partial_{M_p}$, $\overline{\partial}_{M_p}$, $\overline{\partial}^{*}_{M_p}$, $\square_{M_p}$, $G_{M_p}$, and $\mathbb{H}_{M_p}$ be the corresponding operators in the Hodge theory on $M_p$, where $\overline{\partial}^{*}_{M_p}$ is the adjoint operator of $\overline{\partial}_{M_p}$, $\square_{M_p}$ the Laplace operator, and $G_{M_p}$ the corresponding Green operator. We let $\mathbb{H}_{M_p}$denote the harmonic projection onto the kernel of $\square_{M_p}$. We also denote by $\mathbb{H}^{p,q}(M_p,\,E)$ the harmonic $(p,q)$-forms with value in a holomorphic vector bundle $E$ on $M_p$.

By using the Calabi-Yau metric we have a more precise description of the local deformation of a polarized Calabi-Yau manifold. First from Hodge theory, we have the following identification

$$T_p^{1,0}\mathcal{T}\cong\mathbb{H}^{0,1}\left(M_p,T^{1,0}_{M_p}\right).$$

From Kuranishi theory we have the following local convergent power series expansion of the Beltrami differentials, which is now well-known as the Bogomolov-Tian-Todorov theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let $\varphi_1,\cdots,\varphi_N\in\mathbb{H}^{0,1}\left(M_p,T^{1,0}_{M_p}\right)$ be a basis. Then there is a unique power series*

$$\varphi(\tau)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\tau_i\varphi_i+\sum_{|I|\geq 2}\tau^{I}\varphi_I \tag{16}$$

*which converges for $|\tau|<\varepsilon$ small. Here $I=(i_1,\cdots,i_N)$ is a multi-index, $\tau^{I}=\tau_1^{i_1}\cdots\tau_N^{i_N}$ and $\varphi_I\in A^{0,1}\left(M_p,T^{1,0}_{M_p}\right)$. Furthermore, the family of Beltrami differentials $\varphi(\tau)$ satisfy* *the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\partial}_{M_p}\varphi(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}[\varphi(\tau),\varphi(\tau)],\\ \overline{\partial}^*_{M_p}\varphi(\tau) &= 0,\\ \varphi_I \lrcorner \Omega_p &= \partial_{M_p}\psi_I,\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

*for each $|I| \geq 2$ where $\psi_I \in A^{n-2,1}(M_p)$ are smooth $(n-2,1)$-forms. By shrinking $\varepsilon$ we can pick each $\psi_I$ appropriately such that $\sum_{|I|\geq 2}\tau^I\psi_I$ converges for $|\tau| < \varepsilon$.*

This theorem was proved in [22], and in [21] in a form without specifying the Kuranishi gauge, the second and the third condition in (17). This theorem implies that the local deformation of a Calabi-Yau manifold is unobstructed. Here we only mention two important points of its proof. For the convergence of $\sum_{|I|\geq 2}\tau^I\psi_I$, noting that $\partial_{M_p}\psi_I = \varphi_I \lrcorner \Omega_p$ and $\overline{\partial}\varphi_I \lrcorner \Omega_p = 0$, we can pick $\psi_I = \partial^*_{M_p}G(\varphi_I \lrcorner \Omega_p)$. It follows that

$$\|\psi_I\|_{k,\alpha} \leq C(k,\alpha)\|\varphi_I \lrcorner \Omega_p\|_{k-1,\alpha} \leq C'(k,\alpha)\|\varphi_I\|_{k-1,\alpha}.$$

The desired convergence follows from the estimate on $\varphi_I$. We note that the convergence of (16) follows from standard elliptic estimate. See [22], or Chapter 4 of [11] for details.

For the third condition in (17), by using the first two conditions in (17), for example we have in the case of $|I| = 2$,

$$\overline{\partial}_{M_p}\varphi_{ij} = [\varphi_i,\varphi_j] \text{ and } \overline{\partial}^*_{M_p}\varphi_{ij} = 0. \tag{18}$$

Then by using formula (13) and Lemma 3.1, we get that

$$[\varphi_i,\varphi_j] \lrcorner \Omega_p = \partial_{M_p}(\varphi_i \wedge \varphi_j \lrcorner \Omega_p)$$

is $\partial_{M_p}$ exact. It follows that $\overline{\partial}_{M_p}(\varphi_{ij} \lrcorner \Omega_p) = \left(\overline{\partial}_{M_p}\varphi_{ij}\right) \lrcorner \Omega_p$ is also $\partial_{M_p}$ exact. Then by the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-lemma we have

$$\overline{\partial}_{M_p}(\varphi_{ij} \lrcorner \Omega_p) = \overline{\partial}_{M_p}\partial_{M_p}\psi_{ij}$$

for some $\psi_{ij} \in A^{n-2,1}$. It follows that

$$\varphi_{ij} \lrcorner \Omega_p = \partial_{M_p}\psi_{ij} + \overline{\partial}_{M_p}\alpha + \beta$$

for some $\alpha \in A^{n-1,0}(M_p)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{H}^{n-1,1}(M_p)$. By using the condition $\overline{\partial}^*_{M_p}\varphi_{ij} = 0$ and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\varphi_{ij} \lrcorner \Omega_p = \partial_{M_p}\psi_{ij} + \beta.$$

Because $\varphi_{ij}$ is not uniquely determined by condition (18), we can choose $\varphi_{ij}$ such that the harmonic projection $\mathbb{H}(\varphi_{ij}) = 0$. Then by using Lemma 3.1 again, we have

$$\varphi_{ij} \lrcorner \Omega_p = \partial_{M_p}\psi_{ij}.$$

Thus there exists a unique $\varphi_{ij}$ which satisfies all three conditions in (17). We can then proceed by induction and the same argument as above to show that the third condition in (17) holds for all $|I| \geq 2$. See [22] and [21] for details.

Theorem 3.2 will be used to define the local holomorphic affine flat coordinates $\{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_N\}$ around $p$, for a given orthonormal basis $\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_N \in \mathbb{H}^{0,1}\left(M_p, T^{1,0}_{M_p}\right)$. Sometimes we also denote by $M_\tau$ the deformation given by the Beltrami differential $\varphi(\tau)$.

3.3. **Local canonical section of holomorphic $(n,0)$-classes.** By using the local deformation theory, in [22] Todorov constructed a canonical local holomorphic section of the line bundle $H^{n,0}=F^n$ over the local deformation space of a Calabi-Yau manifold at the differential form level. We first recall the construction of the holomorphic $(n,0)$-forms in [22].

Let $\varphi\in A^{0,1}\left(M,T^{1,0}M\right)$ be an integrable Beltrami differential and let $M_\varphi$ be the Calabi-Yau manifold defined by $\varphi$. We refer the reader to Section 3.1 for the definition of the contraction $e^{\varphi}\lrcorner\Omega_p$.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let $\Omega_p$ be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic $(n,0)$-form on $M_p$ and $\{z_1,\cdots,z_n\}$ is a local holomorphic coordinate system with respect to $J$ such that*

$$\Omega_p=dz_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_n$$

*locally. Then the smooth $(n,0)$-form*

$$\Omega_\varphi=e^{\varphi}\lrcorner\Omega_p$$

*is holomorphic with respect to the complex structure on $M_\varphi$ if and only if $\partial_{M_p}(\varphi\lrcorner\Omega_p)=0$.*

*Proof.* The proof in [22] is by direct computations, here we give a simple proof.

Being an $(n,0)$-form on $M_\varphi$, $e^{\varphi}\lrcorner\Omega_p$ is holomorphic on $M_\varphi$ if and only if $d(e^{\varphi}\lrcorner\Omega_p)=0$. For any smooth $(n,0)$-form $\Omega_p$ and Beltrami differential $\varphi\in A^{0,1}\left(M,T^{1,0}M\right)$, we have the following formula,

$$d(e^{\varphi}\lrcorner\Omega_p)=e^{\varphi}\lrcorner(\overline{\partial}_{M_p}\Omega_p+\partial_{M_p}(\varphi\lrcorner\Omega_p))+(\overline{\partial}_{M_p}\varphi-\frac{1}{2}[\varphi,\varphi])\lrcorner(e^{\varphi}\lrcorner\Omega_p),$$

which can be verified by direct computations. In our case the Beltrami differential $\varphi$ is integrable, i.e. $\overline{\partial}_{M_p}\varphi-\frac{1}{2}[\varphi,\varphi]=0$ and $\Omega_p$ is holomorphic on $M_p$. Therefore we have

$$d(e^{\varphi}\lrcorner\Omega_p)=e^{\varphi}\lrcorner(\partial_{M_p}(\varphi\lrcorner\Omega_p)),$$

which implies that $e^{\varphi}\lrcorner\Omega_p$ is holomorphic on $M_\varphi$ if and only if $\partial_{M_p}(\varphi\lrcorner\Omega_p)=0$. □

Now we can construct the canonical family of holomorphic $(n,0)$-forms on the local deformation space of Calabi-Yau manifolds.

**Proposition 3.4.** *We fix on $M_p$ a nowhere vanishing holomorphic $(n,0)$-form $\Omega_p$ and an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of $\mathbb{H}^1(M_p,T^{1,0}M_p)$. Let $\varphi(\tau)$ be the family of Beltrami differentials given by (17) that defines a local deformation of $M_p$ which we denote by $M_\tau$. Let*

$$\Omega_p^c(\tau)=e^{\varphi(\tau)}\lrcorner\Omega_p. \tag{19}$$

*Then $\Omega_p^c(\tau)$ is a well-defined nowhere vanishing holomorphic $(n,0)$-form on $M_\tau$ and depends on $\tau$ holomorphically.*

*Proof.* We call such family the canonical family of holomorphic $(n,0)$-forms on the local deformation space of $M_p$. The fact that $\Omega(\tau)^c$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic $(n,0)$-form on the fiber $M_\tau$ follows from its definition and Lemma 3.3 directly. In fact we only need to check that $\partial_{M_p}(\varphi(\tau)\lrcorner\Omega_p)=0$. By formulae (16) and (17) we know that

$$\varphi(\tau)\lrcorner\Omega_p=\sum_{i=1}^N\tau_i(\varphi_i\lrcorner\Omega_p)+\sum_{|I|\geq 2}\tau^I(\varphi_I\lrcorner\Omega_p)=\sum_{i=1}^N\tau_i(\varphi_i\lrcorner\Omega_p)+\partial_{M_p}\left(\sum_{|I|\geq 2}\tau^I\psi_I\right).$$

Because each $\varphi_i$ is harmonic, by Lemma 3.1 we know that $\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p$ is also harmonic and thus $\partial_{M_p}(\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p) = 0$. Furthermore, since $\sum_{|I|\geq 2} \tau^I \psi_I$ converges when $|\tau|$ is small, we see that $\partial_{M_p}(\varphi(\tau) \lrcorner \Omega_p) = 0$ from formula (17). The holomorphic dependence of $\Omega_p^c(\tau)$ on $\tau$ follows from formula (19) and the fact that $\varphi(\tau)$ depends on $\tau$ holomorphically. □

From Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.4 we get the expansion of the deRham cohomology classes of the canonical family of holomorphic $(n,0)$-forms. This expansion will be important in the construction of the holomorphic affine structure on the Teichmüller space. We remark that one may also directly deduce this expansion from the local Torelli theorem for Calabi-Yau manifold and the Griffiths transversality.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let $\Omega_p^c(\tau)$ be a canonical family defined by* (19). *Then we have the following expansion for $|\tau| < \epsilon$ small,*

$$[\Omega_p^c(\tau)] = [\Omega_p] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i [\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p] + A(\tau), \tag{20}$$

*where $A(\tau) = O(|\tau|^2) \in \bigoplus_{k=2}^{n} H^{n-k,k}(M)$ denotes terms of order at least* 2 *in $\tau$.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_p^c(\tau) =& \Omega_p + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i (\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p) + \sum_{|I|\geq 2} \tau^I (\varphi_I \lrcorner \Omega_p) + \sum_{k\geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\wedge^k \varphi(\tau) \lrcorner \Omega_p\right) \\ =& \Omega_p + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i (\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p) + \partial_{M_p} \left( \sum_{|I|\geq 2} \tau^I \psi_I \right) + a(\tau), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where

$$a(\tau) = \sum_{k\geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\wedge^k \varphi(\tau) \lrcorner \Omega_p\right) \in \bigoplus_{k\geq 2} A^{n-k,k}(M). \tag{22}$$

By Hodge theory, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\Omega_p^c(\tau)] &= [\Omega_p] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i [\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p] + \left[ \mathbb{H}(\partial_{M_p}(\sum_{|I|\geq 2} \tau^I \psi_I)) \right] + [\mathbb{H}(a(\tau))] \\ &= [\Omega_p] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i [\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p] + [\mathbb{H}(a(\tau))] \,. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Let $A(\tau) = [\mathbb{H}(a(\tau))]$, then (22) shows that $A(\tau) \in \bigoplus_{k=2}^{n} H^{n-k,k}(M)$ and $A(\tau) = O(|\tau|^2)$ which denotes the terms of order at least 2 in $\tau$. □

In fact we have the following expansion of the canonical family of $(n,0)$-classes up to order 2 in $\tau$,

$$[\Omega_p^c(\tau)] = [\Omega_p] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i [\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \tau_i \tau_j \left[\mathbb{H}(\varphi_i \wedge \varphi_j \lrcorner \Omega_p)\right] + \Xi(\tau),$$

where $\Xi(\tau) = O(|\tau|^3)$ denotes terms of order at least 3 in $\tau$, and $\Xi(\tau) \in \bigoplus_{k=2}^{n} H^{n-k,k}(M)$. This will not be needed in this paper.

## 4. Holomorphic Affine Structure on the Teichmüller Space

In this section, we introduce holomorphic flat coordinate chart at each point of the Teichmüller space of the Calabi-Yau manifold. Then we prove that the local holomorphic flat coordinate charts naturally give us a global holomorphic affine structure on the Teichmüller space. We call the holomorphic affine flat coordinate charts the Kuranishi coordinate cover of the Teichmüller space, since the construction is based on the local Kuranishi deformation theory of the Calabi-Yau manifold.

In Section 4.1, for reader's convenience we review the definition and some basic properties of affine manifold and affine map. The results in this section are standard. In Section 4.2, we introduce the holomorphic flat coordinate chart and the Kuranishi coordinate cover on the Teichmüller space. In Section 4.3, we prove, in Theorem 4.7, that the holomorphic flat coordinate charts give us a holomorphic affine structure on the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifolds.

4.1. **Affine manifold and affine map.** We will first review the definition and some basic properties of affine manifold and affine map. We refer the reader to page 215 of [13], page 231 of [27] or page 141 of [3] for more details.

**Definition 4.1.** *Let $M$ be a differentiable manifold of real dimension $n$, if there is a coordinate cover $\{U_i,\, \varphi_i;\, i\in I\}$ of $M$ satisfying that, $\varphi_{ik}=\varphi_i\circ\varphi_k^{-1}$ is a real affine transformation on $\mathbb{R}^n$, whenever $U_i\cap U_k$ is not empty, then we say that $\{U_i,\, \varphi_i;\, i\in I\}$ is a real affine coordinate cover and defines a real affine structure on $M$. For the definition of holomorphic affine manifold we just replace the "real" by "holomorphic" and "$\mathbb{R}^n$" by "$\mathbb{C}^n$" in the definition of real affine manifold.*

Because $\mathbb{C}^n$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ as a real linear vector space, a holomorphic affine transformation on $\mathbb{C}^n$ is clearly a real affine transformation on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Therefore by definition a holomorphic affine manifold is automatically a real affine manifold. If $M$ has a real affine structure, then $M$ is a real analytic manifold since real affine transformations are real analytic maps. So affine manifolds are always real analytic.

Now let us review the concept of holomorphic connection to introduce the equivalent definition of holomorphic affine manifold. For details about this, we refer the reader to page 216 of [13], page 233-234 of [27] or page 140 of [3].

Let $M$ be a complex manifold, and $\Gamma(M,TM)$ denotes the space of smooth sections of the tangent bundle. A linear connection on $M$ is defined to be a bilinear map $(X,Y)\to\nabla_XY$ of $\Gamma(M,TM)\times\Gamma(M,TM)$ into $\Gamma(M,TM)$ satisfying the following conditions,

1. $\nabla_{fY}X=f\nabla_YX$;
2. $\nabla_YfX=f(\nabla_YX)+Y(f)X$.

A linear connection $\nabla$ is called a holomorphic linear connection if the following two more conditions are satisfied,

3. $(\nabla_YX)^{1,0}=\nabla_YX^{1,0}$;
4. If $U$ and $W$ are complex holomorphic vector fields defined on an open set $O$, then $\nabla_WU$ is also holomorphic.

A holomorphic linear connection is called a holomorphic flat connection if the following two additional conditions are satisfied,

5. $\nabla_XY-\nabla_YX=[X,Y]$;

(6) $\nabla_X\nabla_Y - \nabla_Y\nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]} = 0$.

This means that a holomorphic flat connection is a holomorphic linear connection which is torsion-free and has zero curvature.

We have the following relation between holomorphic affine structures and holomorphic flat connections which will be needed later. We refer the reader to page 217-219 of [13], or Section 3 of [27] for its proof.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let $M$ be a complex manifold, then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all holomorphic affine structures on $M$ and the set of all holomorphic flat connections on $M$. The geodesics of a holomorphic flat connection are straight lines in each coordinate chart of the holomorphic affine coordinate cover.*

Now let us consider affine maps between holomorphic affine manifolds. Let $M$ and $M'$ be two holomorphic affine manifolds with holomorphic coordinate covers $\{U_i, \varphi_i\}$ and $\{V_j, \psi_j\}$. They both have natural holomorphic flat connections by Theorem 4.2. Let $f : M \to M'$ be a smooth map between $M$ and $M'$. We call $f : M \to M'$ a holomorphic affine map if the induced map $f_* : TM \to TM'$ maps every horizontal curve into a horizontal curve, that is, $f$ maps each parallel vector field along each curve $\gamma(t)$ of $M$ into a parallel vector field along the curve $f(\gamma(t))$. Especially if the tangent vector field $\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t)$ is parallel along $\gamma(t)$, then the image of this vector field is also parallel along $f(\gamma(t))$, that is, $f$ maps geodesics on $M$ to geodesics on $M'$. Since the geodesics on an affine manifold are straight lines in the holomorphic affine coordinate charts, we deduce that a smooth map $f : M \to M'$ is a holomorphic affine map if and only if the following map,

$$\psi_j \circ f \circ \varphi_i^{-1} : \ \varphi_i(f^{-1}(V_j) \cap U_i) \to \psi_j(V_j),$$

is a holomorphic affine transformation, whenever $f^{-1}(V_j) \cap U_i$ is not empty. Here $\varphi_i(f^{-1}(V_j) \cap U_i)$ and $\psi_j(V_j)$ are both open sets in complex Euclidean spaces.

The notion of holomorphic affine map will be essentially used in our proof of the global Torelli theorem. For affine maps we have the following theorem, which is a special case of Theorem 6.1 in [10]. We refer the reader to page 252-255 of [10] for the proof.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let $M$ be a connected, simply connected real affine manifold with an analytic linear connection. Let $M'$ be an analytic manifold with a complete analytic linear connection. Then every real affine map $f_U$ of a connected open subset $U$ of $M$ into $M'$ can be uniquely extended to a holomorphic affine map $f$ of $M$ into $M'$.*

The above theorem only deals with the real analytic manifold and analytic linear connections. We have a holomorphic analog of Theorem 4.3.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let $M$ be a connected, simply connected holomorphic affine manifold and $M'$ be a complete holomorphic affine manifold. Then every holomorphic affine map $f_U$ of a connected open subset $U$ of $M$ into $M'$ can be uniquely extended to a holomorphic affine map $f$ of $M$ into $M'$.*

*Proof.* Because both $M$ and $M'$ are holomorphic affine manifolds, they are naturally real affine manifolds. The map $f_U$ is automatically a real affine map. Then by using Theorem 4.3, there exists a global real affine map

$$f : M \to M'$$

which is an extension of $f_U$ to a map from $M$ to $M'$. We know that $f$ is real analytic on $M$ and holomorphic on $U$, so $f$ is globally holomorphic on $M$. For uniqueness, if a holomorphic affine map $g: M \to M'$ is another extension of $f_U$, then $g$ is holomorphic and $g = f$ on $U$, which implies $g = f$ on $M$. $\square$

It is also easy to give a direct proof of this theorem by using the holomorphic affine structures following the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [10].

4.2. **Kuranishi coordinate cover on the Teichmüller space.** In this subsection we introduce Kuranishi coordinate cover to define a holomorphic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$. We first review the definition of Hodge basis adapted to a given Hodge decomposition $H_{\mathbb{C}} = H^{n,0} \oplus H^{n-1,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{0,n}$.

Let $e = \{e_0, \cdots, e_m\}$ be a basis of $H_{\mathbb{C}}$. We call $e$ a Hodge basis adapted to this Hodge decomposition, if

$$\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_{m_{k-1}+1}, \cdots, e_{m_k}\} = H^{n-k,k},$$

where $m_k = f^{n-k} - 1$, for each $0 \leq k \leq n$. Using the relation

$$F^{n-k}/F^{n-k+1} = H^{n-k,k}, \tag{24}$$

we get that the Hodge basis defined above also satisfies

$$\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_{m_{k-1}+1}, \cdots, e_{m_k}\} = F^{n-k}/F^{n-k+1}. \tag{25}$$

A square matrix $T = [T^{\alpha,\beta}]$, with each $T^{\alpha,\beta}$ a submatrix, is called block upper triangular if $T^{\alpha,\beta}$ is zero matrix whenever $\alpha > \beta$. To clarify the notations, we will use $T_{ij}$ to denote the entries of the matrix $T$. We have the following lemma which should be well-known to experts. For example it follows easily from the unipotent orbit in the period domain as described in [18].

**Lemma 4.5.** *We fix a base point $p \in \mathcal{T}$ and a Hodge basis $\{c_0(p), \cdots, c_m(p)\}$ of the Hodge decomposition of $M_p$, and denote by $C(p) = (c_0(p), \cdots, c_m(p))^T$ in column. Then there is an open neighborhood $U_p$ of $p$, such that for any $q \in U_p$, there exists a block upper triangular matrix $\sigma(q)$ such that the basis*

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0(q) \\ \vdots \\ c_m(q) \end{bmatrix} = \sigma(q) \begin{bmatrix} c_0(p) \\ \vdots \\ c_m(p) \end{bmatrix} \tag{26}$$

*is a Hodge basis of the Hodge decomposition of $M_q$.*

*Proof.* This lemma is a direct corollary of the Griffiths transversality. Let $\tau = \{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_N\}$ be a local holomorphic coordinates on a small neighborhood $U_p$ around $p$, and

$$\{F^n_\tau \subset F^{n-1}_\tau \subset \cdots \subset F^0_\tau\} = \Phi(\tau)$$

be the period map. Then the Griffiths transversality (11) is equivalent to,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(F^k_\tau / F^{k+1}_\tau) \subset F^{k-1}_\tau / F^k_\tau, \tag{27}$$

where for each $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, the quotient bundle $F^k/F^{k+1}$ is a holomorphic vector bundle on $\mathcal{T}$ and has the relation (24) with the Hodge decomposition.

Let $B(\tau) = \{B_l(\tau)\}_{l=0}^{(f^0-1)}$ be a holomorphic family of bases for $F^0_\tau$ over $U_p$, such that $\{B_l(\tau)\}_{l=f^k}^{(f^{k-1}-1)}$ is a holomorphic family of bases for $F^{k-1}_\tau/F^k_\tau$, for each $k$. In fact we may shrink $U_p$ to trivialize the holomorphic bundles $F^{k-1}_\tau/F^k_\tau$ to get the bases. By using the Griffiths transversality (27), we have for each $f^k \leq l \leq (f^{k-1}-1)$, $B_l(\tau) \in F^{k-1}_\tau/F^k_\tau$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau^i} B_l(\tau) \in F^{k-2}_\tau/F^{k-1}_\tau \quad \text{for each} \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, \tag{28}$$

and furthermore

$$\frac{\partial^{|I|}}{\partial \tau^I} B_l(\tau) \in F^{k-1-|I|}_\tau/F^{k-|I|}_\tau \quad \text{for each} \quad |I| \geq 1. \tag{29}$$

Now let us look at the Taylor expansion of $B(\tau)$ at $\tau = 0$, we get that there are polynomials $\sigma_{li}$ in $\tau$, such that

$$B_l(\tau) = \sum_{|I|\geq 0} \frac{\partial^{|I|}}{\partial \tau^I} B_l(0)\tau^I \tag{30}$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq f^k} \sigma_{li}(\tau) B_i(0) \tag{31}$$

for $|\tau| < \epsilon$ small, $f^k \leq l \leq f^{k-1}-1$, and $0 \leq k \leq n$. Let $q \in U_p$ be an arbitrary point, we have $\{B_l(q)\}_{l=0}^{f^0-1}$ is a Hodge basis adapted to the Hodge decomposition at point $q$. Then formula (31) shows that

$$B_l(q) = \sum_{i \geq f^k} \sigma_{li}(q) B_i(p),$$

where the matrix $[\sigma_{li}]_{0 \leq l, i \leq f^0-1}$ is a block upper triangular matrix in the sense that $\sigma_{li} = 0$ if $l \geq f^k$ and $i \leq f^k - 1$ for some $0 \leq k \leq n$. □

From the above proof it is easy to see that the family of transition matrices $\sigma(\tau) = [\sigma^{\alpha,\beta}(\tau)]$ are block upper triangular matrices depending on $\tau$ holomorphically. Furthermore each diagonal matrix $\sigma^{k,k}(\tau)$ can be reduced to identity matrix. But these properties will not be needed during our discussion in this paper.

In a letter to the authors [18], Schmid indicated that the block upper triangular matrix as appeared in Lemma 4.5 induces an affine flat structure in the unipotent orbit in the period domain.

Now we are ready to define the Kuranishi coordinate cover. For each $p \in \mathcal{T}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_p$ the set consisting of all of the orthonormal bases for $\mathbb{H}^{0,1}(M_p, T^{1,0}M_p)$. Then for each pair $(p, \Psi)$, where $p \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_p$, we call the following coordinate chart

$$U_{p,\Psi} = (U_p, \{\tau_1, \cdots \tau_N\}) = (U_p, \tau)$$

a holomorphic affine flat coordinate chart around $p$, where the neighborhood $U_p$ of $p$ is chosen as in Lemma 4.5, and the coordinate system $\{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_N\}$ is constructed by Theorem 3.2. Since the holomorphic affine flat coordinate chart can be defined around any point $p \in \mathcal{T}$, we have obtained a coordinate cover of $\mathcal{T}$ by the holomorphic affine flat coordinate charts

$$\{U_{p,\Psi} : p \in \mathcal{T} \text{ and } \Psi \in \mathcal{C}_p\}.$$

We call this coordinate cover the Kuranishi coordinate cover.

Based on the existence of the local canonical section of the holomorphic $(n,0)$-forms on the local Kuranishi family of Calabi-Yau manifolds, in the next subsection we will prove that the Kuranishi coordinate cover induced by the local holomorphic affine coordinate charts gives us a global holomorohic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$. We end this subsection with a simple remark.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let $p$ be a point in $\mathcal{T}$, and $M_p$ be the corresponding polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifold. Let $(U_p, \{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_N\})$ be a holomorphic affine flat coordinate chart around p, and $q \in U_p$. Then for any $[\Omega_q] \in H^{n,0}(M_q)$, there exists a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$[\Omega_q] = \lambda \left( [\Omega_p] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i(q)(\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p) + A_q \right),$$

*where $A_q \in \bigoplus_{k\geq 2} H^{n-k,k}(M_p)$, and $\tau_i(q)$ are the flat coordinates of $q$ in $U_p$.*

*Proof.* Because $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{n,0}(M_q) = 1$, and both $[\Omega_p^c(q)]$ and $[\Omega_q]$ belong to $H^{n,0}(M_q)$, we know that there exists a complex number $\lambda$ such that,

$$[\Omega_q] = \lambda[\Omega_p^c(q)].$$

By using Corollary 3.5, we get

$$[\Omega_q] = \lambda[\Omega_p^c(q)] = \lambda([\Omega_p] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i(q)(\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p) + A_q),$$

where $\tau_i(q)$ are the values of the flat coordinates of $q$ in $U_p$, and $A_q \in \bigoplus_{k\geq 2} H^{n-k,k}(M_p)$. □

Note that in the above proposition, if we let $[\Omega_q]$ vary holomorphically in $q$, then $\lambda$ also depends on $q$ holomorphically. But we will not need this property in this paper.

## 4.3. **Holomorphic affine structure on the Teichmüller space.**

In this subsection we prove that the Kuranishi coordinate cover gives us a natural holomorphic affine coordinate cover of $\mathcal{T}$, therefore a holomorphic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$.

**Theorem 4.7.** *The Kuranishi coordinate cover on $\mathcal{T}$ is a holomorphic affine coordinate cover, thus defines a global holomorphic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$.*

The proof of Theorem 4.7 is reduced to the proofs of the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.8.** *For a point $p \in \mathcal{T}$, let $\Phi$ and $\Phi'$ be two different orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{H}^1(M_p, T^{1,0}M_p)$. Then the transition map of these two coordinate charts $U_{p,\Phi} = (U_p, \tau)$ and $U_{p,\Phi'} = (U_p, t)$ is a holomorphic affine map.*

*Proof.* Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix, such that $\Phi' = A \cdot \Phi$. By using Theorem 3.2, we have

$$(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_N)^T = A(t_1, \cdots, t_N)^T$$

which is clearly a holomorphic affine map. □

Lemma 4.8 tells us that we can use any choice of the orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H}^1(M_p, T^{1,0}M_p)$ for our discussion about the holomorphic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$. From now on we will use $U_p$ instead of $U_{p,\Phi}$ for simplicity.

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let $p, q \in \mathcal{T}$ be two points. If $q \in U_p$, then the transition map between $(U_p, \tau)$ and $(U_q, t)$ is a holomorphic affine map.*

*Proof.* We take $\Phi_p = \{\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_N\}$ and $\Psi_q = \{\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_N\}$ to be the orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{H}^{0,1}(M_p, T^{1,0}M_p)$ and $\mathbb{H}^{0,1}(M_q, T^{1,0}M_q)$ respectively. Let $\Omega_p$ and $\Omega_q$ be respectively the holomorphic $(n, 0)$-forms on $M_p$ and $M_q$, normalized as in Lemma 3.1. Then by Lemma 3.1 we know that $\{\varphi_1 \lrcorner \Omega_p, \cdots, \varphi_N \lrcorner \Omega_p\}$ and $\{\psi_1 \lrcorner \Omega_q, \cdots, \psi_N \lrcorner \Omega_q\}$ are respectively the orthonormal bases for $\mathbb{H}^{n-1,1}(M_p)$ and $\mathbb{H}^{n-1,1}(M_q)$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_0 &= [\Omega_p], \qquad \eta_i = [\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p] \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le N; \\ \alpha_0 &= [\Omega_q], \qquad \alpha_i = [\psi_j \lrcorner \Omega_q] \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le N. \end{aligned}$$

We complete them to Hodge bases for $M_p$ and $M_q$ respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \ &= (\eta_0, \eta_1, \cdots, \eta_N, \cdots, \eta_m)^T \quad \text{for } M_p; \\ \alpha \ &= (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_N, \cdots, \alpha_m)^T \quad \text{for } M_q. \end{aligned}$$

For any point $r \in U_p \cap U_q$, let us compute the transition map between the holomorphic affine flat coordinates at $r$, $(\tau_1(r), \cdots, \tau_N(r))$ and $(t_1(r), \cdots, t_N(r))$.

Let $[\Omega_r] = [\Omega_p^c(\tau(r))] \in H^{n,0}(M_r)$, where $[\Omega_p^c(\tau)]$ is the canonical section of the holomorphic $(n, 0)$-classes around $p$. Then by Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 4.6, we have the following identities:

$$[\Omega_r] = \eta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i(r)\eta_i + \sum_{k>N} f_k \eta_k; \tag{32}$$

$$[\Omega_r] = \lambda \left( \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} t_i(r)\alpha_i + \sum_{k>N} g_k \alpha_k \right). \tag{33}$$

Here each $f_k(r)$ is the coefficient of $\eta_k$ in the decomposition of $[\Omega_r]$ according to the Hodge decomposition on $M_p$, and each $g_k(r)$ is the coefficient of $\alpha_k$ in the decomposition of $\lambda^{-1}[\Omega_r]$ according to the Hodge decomposition on $M_q$, for $N + 1 \le k \le m$. We know that there is a Hodge basis corresponding to the Hodge decomposition of $M_q$,

$$C(q) = (c_0(q), \cdots, c_N(q), \cdots, c_m(q))^T,$$

such that

$$c_i(q) = \sum_j \sigma_{ij} \eta_j,$$

and the matrix $\sigma = [\sigma^{\alpha,\beta}]$ is block upper triangular and nonsingular. Since we have two bases of the same Hodge decomposition on $M_q$, $C(q)$ and $\alpha$. They are related by a nonsingular block diagonal transition matrix of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A^{0,0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A^{1,1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A^{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

where each $A^{\alpha,\alpha}$ is an invertible $h^{n-\alpha,\alpha} \times h^{n-\alpha,\alpha}$ matrix, for $0 \le \alpha \le n$. Thus we have

$$\alpha = A \cdot C(q) \text{ and } C(q) = \sigma \cdot \eta,$$

from which we get the transition matrix between the basis $\alpha$ and basis $\eta$

$$\alpha = A\sigma\eta.$$

It is clear that $A\sigma$ is still a nonsingular block upper triangular matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{0,0} \cdot \sigma^{0,0} & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & A^{1,1} \cdot \sigma^{1,1} & \cdots & * \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A^{n,n} \cdot \sigma^{n,n} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{34}$$

Let us denote $A\sigma$ by $T = [T^{\alpha,\beta}]_{0 \le \alpha,\beta \le n}$. Note that each $T^{\alpha,\beta}$ is an $h^{n-\alpha,\alpha} \times h^{n-\beta,\beta}$ matrix for $0 \le \alpha, \beta \le n$, and each $T^{\alpha,\alpha}$ is invertibe. In particular note that the $1 \times 1$ matrix $T^{0,0} = [T_{00}]$ and the $N \times N$ matrix $T^{1,1}$ in $T$ are nonsingular, which are used in the computation of holomorphic affine flat coordinate transformation in the following.

Then we project $[\Omega_r]$ to $F^{n-1}(M_p) = H^{n,0}(M_p) \oplus H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$. From (32)and (33) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i \eta_i &= \lambda P_p^{n-1}(\alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i t_i + \sum_{k=N+1}^{m} g_k(t)\alpha_k) \\ &= \lambda P_p^{n-1}(\sum_{j=0}^{m} T_{0j}\eta_j + \sum_{i=1}^{N} t_i \sum_{j=0}^{m} T_{ij}\eta_j + \sum_{k=N+1}^{m} g_k(t) \sum_{j=0}^{m} T_{kj}\eta_j) \\ &= \lambda(\sum_{j=0}^{N} T_{0j}\eta_j + \sum_{i=1}^{N} t_i \sum_{j=0}^{N} T_{ij}\eta_j) \\ &= \lambda T_{00}\eta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N}(\lambda T_{0j} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda T_{ij} t_i)\eta_j. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Here for brevity we have dropped the notation $r$ in the coordinates $\tau_i(r)$ and $t_i(r)$ in the above formulas.

By comparing the coefficients of the basis $\{\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_N\}$ on both sides of the above identity, we get $1 = T_{00}\lambda$ and $\tau_j = \lambda T_{0j} + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} \lambda T_{ij} t_i$. Therefore for $1 \le j \le N$, we have the identity,

$$\tau_j = T_{00}^{-1} T_{0j} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} T_{00}^{-1} T_{ij} t_i. \tag{36}$$

In this identity, one notes that the transition matrix $T$, while depending on $p$ and $q$, is independent of $r$. Thus we have proved that the coordinate transformation (36) is a holomorphic affine transformation. □

Recall that here $N$ denotes the dimension of $H^{n-1,1}(M_p) \cong F^{n-1}(M_p)/F^n(M_p) \cong \mathbb{C}^N$ which we identify to $T_p^{1,0}\mathcal{T}$, the tangent space of the Teichmüller space at $p$. Also it is important to note that $T$, as a basis change matrix, is invertible, therefore each matrix $T^{\alpha,\alpha}$ on the diagonal is invertible.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 4.7,

*Proof of Theorem 4.7.* Let $(U_p, \tau)$ and $(U_q, t)$ be two holomorphic affine flat coordinate charts in the Kuranishi coordinate cover. We need to show that the transition map between them is a holomorphic affine map. If $p = q$, Lemma 4.8 shows the transition map between these two coordinate charts is a holomorphic affine map. If $p \neq q$, then we use a smooth curve $\gamma(s)$ to connect $p = \gamma(0)$ and $q = \gamma(1)$. Then by Lemma 4.9, we can easily choose

$$0 = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_{k-1} < s_k = 1,$$

such that $\gamma(s_{l+1}) \in U_{\gamma(s_l)}$ and the transition maps $\varphi_{l,l+1}$ between the holomorphic affine flat coordinates in $U_{\gamma(s_l)}$ and $U_{\gamma(s_{l+1})}$ are holomorphic affine maps. Then the transition map between the holomorphic affine flat coordinates in $U_p$ and $U_q$, which is the following compositions of holomorphic affine maps,

$$\varphi_{pq} = \varphi_{0,1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{k-1,k}$$

is also a holomorphic affine map, whenever $U_p \cap U_q$ is not empty.

By Definition 4.1, we see that the Kuranishi coordinate cover is a holomorphic affine coordinate cover, and it defines a holomorphic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$. □

This completes the construction of the holomorphic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$. And this global affine structure will be crucial for the proof of the global Torelli theorem in the next section.

## 5. Proof of The Global Torelli Theorem

In this section, we prove the global Torelli theorem for the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifolds. The proof is based on the construction of the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates on the Teichmüller space. In Section 5.1, we use the holomorphic affine structure constructed in Section 4.3 to prove the existence of global holomorphic affine flat coordinates on the Teichmüller space, which induces natural holomorphic affine embeddings of the Teichmüller space into $\mathbb{C}^N$ with the standard holomorphic affine structure. In all of the discussions in this section, it is crucial that the Teichmüller space has global holomorphic affine structure and is simply connected. In Section 5.2, we prove Theorem 5.2 which is the global Torelli theorem.

Note again that the existence of the global versal family of the polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifolds on the Teichmüller space is crucial for our proofs of Theorem 3.5 to get the local holomorphic affine charts and Theorem 4.7 to construct the global holomorphic affine structure on the Teichmüller space, and thus a global holomorphic flat affine connection, which, together with the fact that the Teichmüller space is simply connected, plays crucial role in our proof of Theorem 5.1.

### 5.1. The holomorphic affine embedding from $\mathcal{T}$ into $H^{n-1,1}(M_p) \cong \mathbb{C}^N$.

In this subsection, for each point $p \in \mathcal{T}$, we construct a holomorphic affine embedding of $\mathcal{T}$ into $\mathbb{C}^N \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p) \cong H^{0,1}(M_p, T^{1,0}_{M_p}) \cong T^{1,0}_p\mathcal{T}$.

We first take a base point $p \in \mathcal{T}$, and choose a holomorphic flat coordinate chart $(U_p, \{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_N\})$ around $p$. We can define a local holomorphic affine embedding

$$\rho_{U_p} : U_p \to \mathbb{C}^N \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p) \cong T^{1,0}_p\mathcal{T}$$

by letting $\rho_{U_p}(q) = (\tau_1(q), \cdots, \tau_N(q))$ for any $q \in U_p$. Here recall that we make the identification $H^{n-1,1}(M_p) \cong \mathbb{C}^N$ by using the orthonormal basis $\{[\varphi_1 \lrcorner \Omega_p], \cdots, [\varphi_N \lrcorner \Omega_p]\}$ of $H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$.

In fact the holomorphic flat connection on $\mathcal{T}$ defines a holomorphic exponential map which is an affine map. It is known that exponential map is a local isomorphism and $\rho_{U_p}$ may be viewed just as the inverse of the holomorphic exponential map after we identify $T^{1,0}_p\mathcal{T} \cong H^{0,1}(M_p, T^{1,0}_{M_p})$ to $H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$ by contracting with $\Omega_p$.

Note that $\rho_{U_p}$ maps straight lines on $U_p$ to straight lines in $\mathbb{C}^N$, that is, $\rho_{U_p}$ is a holomorphic affine map. Here we use the holomorphic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$ defined by the Kuranishi coordinate cover and the standard holomorphic affine structure on $\mathbb{C}^N$. By using Theorem 4.4, we can extend $\rho_{U_p}$ to a global holomorphic affine map,

$$\rho_p : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}^N \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p),$$

such that when restricted to $U_p$, one has $\rho_p|_{U_p} = \rho_{U_p}$. We remark that $\rho_p$ may also be considered as an analogue of the Harish-Chandra map to embed Hermitian symmetric spaces of non-compact type into $\mathbb{C}^N$.

We have the following theorem which gives us the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates and the global holomorphic embedding of $\mathcal{T}$ in $\mathbb{C}^N \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$. The proof of this theorem is based on the existence of the global versal family of the polarized and marked Calabi-Yau manifolds on $\mathcal{T}$, more specifically on the fact that the restriction of the polarized and marked versal family of the Calabi-Yau manifolds on any small coordinate chart is a Kuranishi family and we can apply Theorem 3.5 to get the local holomorphic affine charts and Theorem 4.7 to construct the global holomorphic affine structure on the Teichmüller space, thus a global holomorphic flat affine connection. We use the global holomorphic affine flat connection to construct global holomorphic functions which we then prove to give global holomorphic affine coordinates on $\mathcal{T}$; another approach, which we only briefly describe, is by directly patching the local holomorphic affine flat coordinate charts through affine transformations to get the global holomorphic affine coordinates. Both approaches depend crucially on the fact that the Teichmüller space is a simply connected holomorphic affine manifold.

**Theorem 5.1.** *The holomorphic affine map $\rho_p : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}^N \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$ is an embedding.*

*Proof.* The proof is based on the construction of the global holomorphic affine flat coordinate system on $\mathcal{T}$, and that $\rho_p$ is given explicitly by the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates. Here we follow the discussion as in page 217 of [13]. Because $(U_p, \{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_N\})$ is a holomorphic affine flat coordinate chart, the holomorphic vector fields $\{\partial/\partial\tau_1, \cdots, \partial/\partial\tau_N\}$ form a local parallel frame of $T^{1,0}U_p$ with respect to the holomorphic flat connection on $\mathcal{T}$. By using that $\mathcal{T}$ is simply connected and the fact that the holomorphic connection is torsion-free and flat, we can extend this local parallel frame, by parallel transports, to a global holomorphic parallel frame $\{V_1, \cdots, V_N\}$ of $T^{1,0}\mathcal{T}$. We denote by $\{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N\}$ the dual frame of $\{V_1, \cdots, V_N\}$ defined by the condition

$$\theta_i(V_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{for } \ 1 \le i, j \le N.$$

Then $\{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N\}$ is a global holomorphic parallel frame of $T^{*1,0}\mathcal{T}$ and the differential forms $\{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N\}$ are closed holomorphic $(1,0)$-forms on $\mathcal{T}$. We can verify these properties of $\{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N\}$ by using the fact that the holomorphic affine connection $\nabla$ is both holomorphic and torsion-free. Because $\nabla$ is holomorphic, the parallel frame $\{V_1, \cdots, V_N\}$ is a global holomorphic frame of $T^{1,0}\mathcal{T}$. This implies that the dual frame $\{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N\}$ is a global parallel holomorphic frame of $T^{*1,0}\mathcal{T}$, which also means that $d\theta_i$ is a $(2,0)$ form on $\mathcal{T}$, for each $1 \le i \le N$. Note that $\nabla$ is torsion-free implies that the parallel holomorphic vector fields are commutative, $[V_k, V_l] = \nabla_{V_k} V_l - \nabla_{V_l} V_k = 0$ for $1 \le k, l \le N$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta_i(V_k, V_l) =& V_k(\theta_i(V_l)) - V_l(\theta_i(V_k)) - \theta_i([V_k, V_l]) \\ =& V_k(\delta_{il}) - V_l(\delta_{ik}) - \theta_i(0) \\ =& 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le i, k, l \le N$. This shows that the $(2,0)$ part of each $d\theta_i$ is zero. Together with the above discussion we get that $d\theta_i = 0$ for each $1 \le i \le N$. Because $\mathcal{T}$ is simply connected, these closed forms $\{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N\}$ are exact. This implies that there are holomorphic functions $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$ uniquely defined on $\mathcal{T}$ with the following properties,

$$dt_i = \theta_i \quad \text{and} \quad t_i(p) = 0,$$

for each $1 \le i \le N$.

These globally defined holomorphic functions $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$ satisfy that their differentials $\{dt_1, \cdots, dt_N\}$ give a global parallel frame of the holomorphic cotangent bundle of $\mathcal{T}$, therefore $dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_N$ is never zero, which implies that, given a local holomorphic affine coordinate system around any point $q \in \mathcal{T}$, the Jacobian of the functions $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$ in this local coordinate system is nondegenerate, so the functions $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$ also form a local holomorphic coordinate system when restricted to a holomorphic coordinate chart around $q$. We will prove that they actually give us global holomorphic affine coordinates on $\mathcal{T}$, patching the local Kuranishi holomorphic affine coordinates.

First note that $dt_i = \theta_i = d\tau_i$ on $U_p$, by the uniqueness of $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$, we have

$$t_i(q) = \tau_i(q) \quad \text{for } \ q \in U_p. \tag{37}$$

Here $\{\tau_1(q), \cdots, \tau_N(q)\}$ denote the coordinates of $q$ in the local holomorphic affine flat coordinate chart $(U_p, \tau)$. Next recall that we have constructed the global holomorphic affine map $\rho_p : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}^N \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$ by extending $\rho_{U_p}$. We first show that $\rho_p(q) = (t_1(q), \cdots, t_N(q))$ for any $q \in \mathcal{T}$.

By definition, when restricted to $U_p$ we have

$$\rho_i(q) = \tau_i(q) \quad \text{for } \ q \in U_p \tag{38}$$

where we write $\rho_p(q) = (\rho_1(q), \cdots, \rho_N(q)) \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Note that for each $i$, the coordinate function $t_i$ and $\rho_i$ are both globally defined holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{T}$. This implies that

$$\rho_i(q) = t_i(q) \quad \text{for } \ q \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Furthermore, for any point $q \in \mathcal{T}$, let $(U_q, \varphi_q = \{\tau_1^q, \cdots, \tau_N^q\})$ be a holomorphic affine flat coordinate chart around $q$ as defined in Section 4.2. The fact that $\rho_p = (\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_N)$ is a holomorphic affine map implies that on $U_q$ we have

$$t_i = \rho_i = a_{i0} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}\tau_j^q \qquad \text{for} \qquad 1 \leq i \leq N, \tag{39}$$

where $a_{ij}$'s are constants on $U_q$. That is, the $\rho_i$'s and $t_i$'s are linear functions in the holomorphic affine coordinate charts $U_q$, for each $q \in \mathcal{T}$.

Together with the fact that $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$ form a local holomorphic coordinate system on each Kuranishi chart $U_q$, we see easily that $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$ also gives a holomorphic affine coordinate system on each $U_q$, and (39) is the affine transformation map between the two affine coordinate systems $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$ and $\{\tau_1^q, \cdots, \tau_N^q\}$ on $U_q$. Therefore we get a new holomorphic flat coordinate cover $\{(U_q,\ \{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}),\ q \in \mathcal{T}\}$ of the Teichmüller space, and the coordinate functions $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$ are global holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{T}$. So the holomorphic affine coordinate systems $(U_q,\ \{t_1, \cdots, t_N\})$ gives us a global holomorphic affine coordinate system, in which $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$ are the global holomorphic affine flat coordinate functions on $\mathcal{T}$.

The above proof actually shows that through affine transformations we can patch the local holomorphic parallel frames to a global parallel holomorphic frame of the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{T}$, this process also patches the local holomorphic affine coordinate systems to the global holomorphic affine coordinate system $\{t_1 \cdots, t_N\}$ on $\mathcal{T}$. Therefore the holomorphic affine map $\rho_p : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}^N \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$, actually given by the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates on $\mathcal{T}$, is an embedding. □

As an alternative method, we briefly describe another construction of the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates on $\mathcal{T}$ by directly patching the local holomorphic affine flat coordinate charts. This construction is essentially equivalent to the previous one in patching the local holomorphic parallel frames to a global holomorphic parallel frame on $\mathcal{T}$ by using affine transformations and standard obstruction theory. Let $\{(U_q, \varphi_q = \{\tau_1^q, \cdots, \tau_N^q\}) : q \in \mathcal{T}\}$ be the Kuranishi coordinate cover constructed in Section 4.2, where $\varphi_q : U_q \to \mathbb{C}^N$ denotes the coordinate map. Denote by $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ the group of holomorphic affine transformations from $\mathbb{C}^N$ to $\mathbb{C}^N$.

Here it is important to notice that $\mathcal{T}$ is a holomorphic affine manifold, therefore its tangent bundle is a flat holomorphic vector bundle with respect to the holomorphic flat connection in Theorem 4.2, we have local parallel frames of the tangent bundle corresponding to the local holomorphic affine flat coordinates, so that we can use elements in $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ as local affine coordinates transformations in the form of formula (39). See the discussions in [9], page 6.

Then using the fact that the Kuranishi coordinate cover on $\mathcal{T}$ is a holomorphic affine coordinate cover, we get that the transition map $\varphi_q \circ \varphi_{q'}^{-1} \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ for each $q$ and $q'$ in $\mathcal{T}$. Let us fix a point $p \in \mathcal{T}$ and the holomorphic affine flat coordinate chart $\{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_N\}$ around $p$. Then we can choose an $A_q \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ for each coordinate chart $(U_q, \varphi_q)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} A_q \circ \varphi_q &= A_{q'} \circ \varphi_{q'} \quad \text{whenever} \ \ U_q \cap U_{q'} \neq \emptyset; \\ A_p &= Id \qquad\quad\ \ \text{on} \ \ U_p. \end{aligned}$$

By using uniqueness of the analytic continuation of holomorphic functions, or repeating the standard argument that a flat vector bundle on a simply connected space is a trivial bundle, see for examples the discussions in [10], Corollary 9.2 in Section 9 of Chapter II, and Theorem 4.1 in Section 4 of Chapter V, we know the obstruction to make the above choices is in $\mathrm{Hom}\,(\pi_1(\mathcal{T}), \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^N))$, where $\pi_1(\mathcal{T})$ denotes the fundamental group of the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}$. Because $\mathcal{T}$ is simply connected, the obstruction vanishes. This implies that we can choose a new coordinate cover,

$$\{(U_q, \psi_q = A_q \circ \varphi_q) : \, q \in \mathcal{T}\},$$

which satisfies that

$$\psi_q \circ \psi_{q'}^{-1} = Id \quad \text{for} \ \ U_q \cap U_{q'} \neq \emptyset.$$

This gives us the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates which agree with $\{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_N\}$ on $U_p$.

We see that the standard holomorphic affine flat coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^N \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$ induce the global affine flat coordinates on $\mathcal{T}$. Since $\rho_p(p) = 0$, we also call the global coordinates $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$ on $\mathcal{T}$, which extend the local holomorphic affine flat coordinates $\{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_N\}$ in $U_p$, the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates, or coordinate system on $\mathcal{T}$, centered at $p$.

Note that when $p$ and $q$ are close, the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates centered at $p$ and at $q$ are related by the holomorphic affine transformation given by the coordinate transformation (36) in the proof of Lemma 4.9. It is easy to see that for arbitrary two points $p$ and $q$ in $\mathcal{T}$, the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates centered at $p$ and at $q$ are related by a global holomorphic affine transformation on $\mathcal{T}$, obtained by composites of holomorphic affine coordinate transformations of the form (36).

5.2. **Proof of the global Torelli theorem.** Now we are ready to prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** *The period map $\Phi : \mathcal{T} \to D$ is an embedding.*

*Proof.* Recall that for arbitrary $p \in \mathcal{T}$, Theorem 5.1 gives us a global holomorphic affine flat coordinate system $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$ in $\mathcal{T}$ centered at $p$, and an embedding $\rho_p : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}^N \cong H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$ such that for any $q \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\rho_p(q) = (t_1(q), \cdots, t_N(q)). \tag{40}$$

In particular we have $\rho_p(q) = 0$ if and only if $q = p$.

For any $q \in \mathcal{T}$ different form $p$, we connect $p$ and $q$ by a smooth curve $\gamma(s)$ with $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(1) = q$. We can choose

$$0 = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_k = 1$$

such that, $\gamma(s_{\zeta+1}) \in U_{\gamma(s_\zeta)}$, where $U_{\gamma(s_\zeta)}$ is the holomorphic affine flat coordinate chart at $\gamma(s_\zeta)$. Then by using the formula (34) in the proof of Theorem 4.9, we know that there exists a nonsingular block upper triangular matrices $T_\zeta$, such that

$$C(s_{\zeta+1}) = T_\zeta \cdot C(s_\zeta),$$

where $C(s_\zeta) = (c_0(s_\zeta), \cdots, c_m(s_\zeta))^T$ is a Hodge basis of the Calabi-Yau manifold $M_{\gamma(s_\zeta)}$ at the point $\gamma(s_\zeta)$, for $0 \leq \zeta \leq k$.

We remark that the matrices $T_\zeta$ all have the same pattern as in the formula (34). This implies that

$$C(q) = T \cdot C(p) \tag{41}$$

where $C(p) = C(s_0)$, $C(q) = C(s_k)$ and $T = [T^{\alpha,\beta}]_{0\leq\alpha,\beta\leq n} = \prod_{\zeta=0}^{k-1} T_\zeta$. Note that $T$ is a nonsingular block upper triangular matrix of the same pattern as $T_\zeta$, that is, $T^{\alpha,\beta}$ is an $h^{n-\alpha,\alpha} \times h^{n-\beta,\beta}$ matrix for each $0 \leq \alpha$, $\beta \leq n$, and $T^{\alpha,\alpha}$ is nonsingular for each $\alpha$. Then (41) implies that

$$c_0(q) = T_{00}c_0(p) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} T_{0j}c_j(p) + \sum_{l=N+1}^{m} T_{0l}c_l(p), \tag{42}$$

where $c_0(q)$ is a generator of $H^{n,0}(M_q)$. Here recall that $N$ is the dimension of $H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$.

Let $\{t'_1, \cdots, t'_N\}$ be the global holomorphic affine flat coordinate system centered at $q$. Then by applying the argument of the proof of Lemma 4.9, we get the following holomorphic affine transformation relating the two global holomorophic affine flat coordinates,

$$t_j = T_{00}^{-1}T_{0j} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} T_{00}^{-1}T_{ij}t'_i \tag{43}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq N$. This is the transition map of the two global holomorphic affine flat coordinate systems $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$ and $\{t'_1, \cdots, t'_N\}$. In particular at point $q$, we have the coordinate functions of $q$ in the global holomorphic affine flat coordinates centered at $p$,

$$t_j(q) = T_{00}^{-1}T_{0j}, \tag{44}$$

since $t'_i(q) = 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$.

Suppose there exists a point $q \in \mathcal{T}$ which is different from $p$, such that $H^{n,0}(M_q) = H^{n,0}(M_p)$. Then (42) shows that $T_{0j} = 0$, for $1 \leq j \leq N$. By using (44) we get

$$t_j(q) = T_{00}^{-1}T_{0j} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

But by the definition of global holomorphic affine flat coordinate chart $\{t_1, \cdots, t_N\}$, this means $p = q$, which contradicts to that $q$ is different from $p$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

□

## References

[1] A. Andreotti, On a theorem of Torelli. *Amer. J. Math.*, Vol. 80, No. 4 (1958), pp. 801–828.

[2] L. V. Ahlfors, *Complex analysis. An introduction to the theory of analytic functions of one complex variable*, 3rd edn., International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, (1978).

[3] L. Auslander, and L. Markus, Holonomy of flat affinely connected manifolds. *Ann. Math.*, Second Series, Vol. 62, No. 1 (1955), pp. 139–151.

[4] S. Barannikov and M. Kontsevich Frobenius manifolds and formality of Lie algebras of polyvector fields. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, Vol. 1998, No. 4 (1998), pp. 201–215.

[5] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri, and C. Vafa, Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string amplitudes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 165, No. 2 (1994), pp. 311–427.

[6] S.S.Chern, W.H.Chen and K.S.Lam *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, Volum 1 of*Series on University Mathematics*, World Scientific, (1999)

[7] S. Ferrara and J. Louis, Flat holomorphic connections and Picard-Fuchs identities from $N = 2$ supergravity. *Phys. Lett. B*, Vol 278, No 3 (1992), pp. 240–245.

[8] P. Griffiths, *Topics in transcendental algebraic geometry*, Volume 106 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (1984).

[9] S. Kobayashi, *Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles*, Iwanami Shoten Publishers and Princeton University Press, (1987).

[10] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundation of differential geometry I*, Wiley-Interscience, New York-London, (1963).

[11] K. Kodaira and J. Morrow, *Complex Manifolds*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Porvindence, RI, (2006), Reprint of the 1971 edition with errata.

[12] K. Liu, A. Todorov, S.-T. Yau, and K. Zuo, Finiteness Of Subfamilies Of Calabi-Yau N-Folds Over Curves With Maximal Length Of Yukawa-Coupling. *Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly*, Vol 7, Num 4 (2011), 1585–1598.

[13] Y. Matsushima, Affine structure on complex manifold. *Osaka J. Math.*, Vol 5, No. 2 (1986), pp. 215–222.

[14] A. Newlander, and L. Nirenberg, Complex analytic coordinates in almost complex manifolds. *Ann. Math.*, Second Series, Vol. 65, No. 3 (1957), pp. 391–404.

[15] H. Popp, *Moduli Theory and Classification Theory of Algebraic Varieties*, Volume 620 of *Lecture Notes in Mathemathics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1977).

[16] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro and I. R. Shafarevich, A Torelli theorem for algebraic surfaces of type K3. *USSR Izv. Ser. Math.*, Vol 5 (1971), pp. 547–588.

[17] W. Schmid, Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping. *Invent. Math.*, Vol. 22, No.3–4 (1973), pp. 211–319.

[18] W. Schmid, Letter to the authors. 2009.

[19] Y. Shimizu and K. Ueno, *Advances in moduli theory*, Volume 206 of *Translation of Mathematical Monographs*, American Mathematics Society, Providence, Rhode Island, (2002).

[20] B. Szendröi, Some finiteness results for Calabi-Yau threefolds. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, Second series, Vol. 60, No. 3 (1999), pp. 689–699.

[21] G. Tian, Smoothness of the universal deformation space of compact Calabi-Yau manifolds and its Petersson-Weil metric. *Mathematical aspects of string theory(San Diego, Calif.)*, (1986), pp. 629–646, *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, Vol 1 (1987), pp. 629–646.

[22] A. N. Todorov, The Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of SU($n \geq 3$) (Calabi-Yau) manifolds. I. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 126, No. 2 (1989), pp. 325–346.

[23] R. Torelli, Sulle Varieta' di Jacobi I, II. *Rendiconti R. Accad. ei Lincei*, Vol. 22–2 (1913), pp. 98–103, 437–441.

[24] M. Verbitsky, A global Torelli theorem for hyperkähler Manifolds. *Preprint arXiv:0908.4121 v6.*

[25] E. Viehweg, *Quasi-projective moduli for polarized manifolds*, Volume 30 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1995).

[26] C. Voisin, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry I, II*, Cambridge Universigy Press, New York, (2002).

[27] A. Vitter, Affine structures on compact complex manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, Vol. 17 (1972), pp. 231–244.

[28] A. Weil, Sur le théorème de Torelli. *Séminaire N.Bourbaki*, Vol. 4 (1995), Exp. No. 151, pp. 207–211.

[29] A. Weil, Final Report on Contract 18(603)-57, *Scientific Works. Collected Papers*, vol. II (1958), pp. 390–395, 545–547.

Department of Mathematics,University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA
*E-mail address*: `fguan@math.ucla.edu`

Center of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310027, China; Department of Mathematics,University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA
*E-mail address*: `liu@math.ucla.edu, liu@cms.zju.edu.cn`

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA
*E-mail address*: `todorov.andrey@gmail.com`